



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/404,547	09/24/1999	TAKESHI SAITO	0039-7378-2R	8485	
22850 7	22850 7590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TODD, GREGORY G		
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-, :		2157	—	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	1>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			gpl			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/404,547	SAITO ET AL.				
navicery nearen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregory G Todd	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cov r sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repict ich places the application	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	•	So at a state which ow	'			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection: IE FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drawe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	oecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	.			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancer	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims version.			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S :					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-19.	Claim(s) rejected: 1-19.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a)☐ approved or b)☐ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/404,547



Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues are raised that would require further search wherein said issues include a first network operated under a first protocol and a second network operated via a different protocol thereby requiring a gateway or protocol conversion and further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues substantially that Adams does not disclose the use of authentication or key exchange, as Adams discloses encryption/decryption. However, as Applicant clearly states in the immediate application background, it is inherent for the authentication to use encryption and decryption. Applicant clearly states on page 2, lines 2-18 of the present application an authentication procedure sharing an encryption key so that the encrypted data cannot be decrypted by said seond node without the contents key. Applicant further discloses on page 6 line 28 - page 7 line 29 the contents being encrypted by the contents protection units with the key being used for encryption purposes, as newly amended claim 1, and similarly other newly amended claims, suggests. Applicant further suggests Adams does not disclose a contents protection information reception and transfer unit, however, the rejection stands as previously rejected that the upstream and downstream ports of Adams allows for the reception and transfer of said information.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100